IN THE

# Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A.D. 1959

## No. 434

CHARLES E. LEGGETT,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Respondent.*

## REPLY TO THE GOVERNMENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PAUL C. ROSS,
188 W. Randolph Street
Chicago, Illinois
*Attorney for Petitioner*

JOSEPH I. BULGER,
G. J. DEVANNA,
*Of Counsel.*

UNITED STATES LAW PRINTING CO., CHICAGO 18, ILL. LA review 5-6581

IN THE

# Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A.D. 1959

## No. 434

CHARLES E. LEGGETT,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Respondent.*

## REPLY TO THE GOVERNMENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO WRIT OF CERTIORARI

## ARGUMENT.

*To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the United States:*

We find it necessary to reply to the Government's opposition brief to point out to your honors:—

(1) That the *Sheridan* case is not in point. The Statute considered in the *Sheridan* case expressly provided "that the mailing or causing to be delivered by mail shall be "; note that the statute expressly uses the word causing.

(2) Section 2(b), 18 U.S.C. is adjective law; it defines who principals may be; but where the statute defining an offense is substantial law and is all inclusive; Section 2(b) does not apply, notwithstanding the revisor's note.

Respectfully Submitted,

PAUL C. ROSS
*Attorney for Petitioner*

JOSEPH I. BULGER,
G. J. DEVANNA,
*Of Counsel.*
188 W. Randolph Street
Chicago 1, Illinois
Telephone: STate 2-4426